arXiv:1405.2581v1 [math.FA] 11 May 2014

BOUNDS FOR LOGARITHMIC SOBOLEV CONSTANTS FOR GAUSSIAN CONVOLUTIONS OF COMPACTLY SUPPORTED MEASURES

DAVID ZIMMERMANN

Abstract. We give upper bounds for optimal constants in logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for convolutions of compactly supported measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a Gaussian measure. We investigate tightness of these bounds by examining some examples. We then revisit and improve (by significantly weakening hypotheses) the statement and proof in [31] that, under certain hypotheses, the empirical law of eigenvalues of a sequence of symmetric random matrices converges to its mean in probability. In particular, the universality theorem holds even when there is some mild long range dependence between the entries.

1. Introduction

A probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to satisfy a logarithmic Sobolev inequality (LSI) with constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\mathrm{Ent}_\mu(f^2) \leq c\, \mathscr{E}(f,f)$$

for all sufficiently smooth and integrable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$, where Ent_μ, called the entropy functional, is defined as

$$\mathrm{Ent}_\mu(f) \coloneqq \int f \log \frac{f}{\int f\, d\mu}\, d\mu$$

and $\mathscr{E}(f,f)$, the energy of f, is defined as

$$\mathscr{E}(f,f) \coloneqq \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu.$$

LSIs are a useful tool that have been applied in various areas of mathematics, cf. [1, 2, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 28, 29, 30]. There are known sufficient conditions on a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ in order for μ to satisfy a LSI, as well as known necessary conditions, cf. [4, 5, 8, 17, 20]. For example, Bobkov and Götze (see [6, p.25, Thm 5.3]) showed the following necessary and sufficient condition for a measure on $\mathbb{R}$ to satisfy a LSI:

Theorem 1. (Bobkov, Götze). *Let μ be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with distribution function $F(x) = \mu((-\infty, x])$. Let p be the density of the absolutely continuous part of μ with respect to Lebesgue measure, and let m be a median of μ. Let*

$$D_0 = \sup_{x<m} \left(F(x) \cdot \log \frac{1}{F(x)} \cdot \int_x^m \frac{1}{p(t)} dt \right),$$
$$D_1 = \sup_{x>m} \left((1-F(x)) \cdot \log \frac{1}{1-F(x)} \cdot \int_m^x \frac{1}{p(t)} dt \right),$$

defining D_0 and D_1 to be zero if $\mu((-\infty, m)) = 0$ or $\mu((m,\infty)) = 0$, respectively, and using the convention $0 \cdot \infty = 0$. Then the optimal log Sobolev constant c for μ satisfies $\frac{1}{150}(D_0 + D_1) \leq c \leq 468(D_0 + D_1)$.

From this, one can glean some sufficient conditions for a LSI to hold. For example, if μ is supported in the interval $[a,b]$, and the absolutely continuous part of μ has a density whose reciprocal is in $L^1([a,b])$, then μ satisfies a LSI with constant bounded by an absolute constant times $||1/p||_1$. This is seen by the following rough estimate for D_1 (the estimate for D_0 is similar):

$$D_1 = \sup_{x>m} \left((1-F(x)) \cdot \log \frac{1}{1-F(x)} \cdot \int_m^x \frac{1}{p(t)} dt \right) \leq \sup_{0<u<1} \left(u \cdot \log \frac{1}{u} \right) \cdot \int_a^b \frac{1}{p(t)} dt = \frac{1}{e} ||1/p||_1.$$

We further remark that it is not necessary for $1/p$ to be L^1 for μ to satisfy a LSI. For example, on $[0,1]$, let $d\mu(t) = (\alpha+1)t^\alpha dt$ for any $\alpha \geq 1$. Then one can explicitly compute the integrals defining D_0 and D_1 to check that μ satisfies a LSI.

We will also use Theorem 1 to prove Theorem 2 below.

The focus of this paper is approximating measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by convolution. In [31], it is shown that the convolution of a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose support is contained in a ball of radius R with a Gaussian measure satisfies a LSI if either $n = 1$ or the variance of the convolving Gaussian is larger than $2R^2 n$. In [27], it is shown for a more general class of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$ than compactly supported and Gaussian measures that convolution yields a LSI. In neither of those papers are bounds for the log Sobolev constants for these convolutions given. In this paper, we restrict our attention back to the case of compactly supported and Gaussian measures, and give explicit upper bounds for these log Sobolev constants. The main results of this paper are the following:

Theorem 2. *Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ whose support is contained in an interval of length $2R$, and let γ_δ be the centered Gaussian of variance $\delta > 0$, i.e., $d\gamma_\delta(t) = (2\pi\delta)^{-1/2}\exp(-\frac{t^2}{2\delta})dt$. Then for some absolute constants K_i, the optimal log-Sobolev constant $c(\delta)$ for $\mu * \gamma_\delta$ satisfies*

$$c(\delta) \leq K_1 \frac{\delta^{3/2} R}{4R^2 + \delta} \exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right) + K_2 \left(\sqrt{\delta} + 2R\right)^2.$$

In particular, if $\delta \leq R^2$, then

$$c(\delta) \leq K_3 \frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R} \exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right).$$

The K_i can be taken in the above inequalities to be $K_1 = 6905, K_2 = 4989, K_3 = 7803$.

Theorem 3. *Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose support is contained in a ball of radius R, and let γ_δ be the centered Gaussian of variance δ with $0 < \delta \leq R^2$, i.e., $d\gamma_\delta(x) = (2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}\exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{2\delta})dx$. Then for some absolute constant K, the optimal log-Sobolev constant $c(\delta)$ for $\mu * \gamma_\delta$ satisfies*

$$c(\delta) \leq K\, R^2 \exp\left(20n + \frac{5R^2}{\delta}\right).$$

K can be taken above to be 289.

In Sections 2 and 3 we give the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3, respectively. Our proof of Theorem 3 also gives an upper bound for the optimal constant in the Poincaré inequality for these convolved measures. In Section 4 we use Theorem 3 to give a proof, under weaker hypotheses than classically stated, of the universality theorem in random matrix theory that the empirical law of eigenvalues of an $n \times n$ real symmetric random matrix converges weakly to its mean in probability as $n \to \infty$ (Theorem 4 below). Before we state that theorem, we state some terminology. Given a set S, we say $\Pi = \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m\}$ is a partition of S if the P_k are disjoint non-empty subsets of S whose union equals S.

Also, recall that a family $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of random variables is said to be uniformly integrable if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(f_\alpha \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|f_\alpha| > C\}}\right) < \epsilon$$

for all $\alpha \in A$.

Theorem 4. *For each natural number n, let Y_n be an $n \times n$ random real symmetric matrix, and let $X_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Y_n$. Suppose the following:*

(1) The family

$$\left\{[\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}^2\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i,j \leq n}$$

is uniformly integrable, where for a random variable Z, $\mathring{Z} \coloneqq Z - \mathbb{E}(Z)$.

(2) For each n, there exists d_n and a partition $\Pi = \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m\}$ of $\{[Y_n]_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}$ such that:

(a) For each $1 \leq k \leq m$, $|P_k| \leq d_n$.

(b) For each $1 \leq k \leq m$, every entry in P_k is independent of $\bigcup_{l \neq k} P_l$.

(c) As $n \to \infty$,

$$\frac{d_n}{\log n} \to 0.$$

Then the empirical law of eigenvalues μ_{X_n} of X_n converges weakly to its mean in probability.

We remark that Assumption (1) above is the analogue of the assumption of finite variance of the entries in the i.i.d. case. Assumption (2) roughly says that we can allow for small groups of dependence of the entries if these groups are independent of each other and each group has size less than $\log n$.

In Section 5 we examine more closely the log Sobolev constants in some examples to show that the bounds stated in Theorems 2 and 3 cannot in general be improved to better than exponential in $1/\delta$ as $\delta \to 0$. We

also show that the uniform measure on a compact interval in $\mathbb{R}$ satisfies a LSI by looking at the behavior of LSIs under weak convergence of the approximating convolutions.

We will use the following notation throughout this paper: Given a measure μ, we will write μ_δ to denote the convolution of μ with γ_δ, the centered Gaussian of variance δ; since γ_δ has a smooth density, μ_δ also has a smooth density, which we denote p_δ. Also, we will let $c(\delta)$ denote the optimal log-Sobolev constant for μ_δ.

2. Proof of Theorem 2

Our main tool for proving Theorem 2 will be Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Fix μ with support contained in an interval of length $2R$. Since satisfaction of a LSI is translation invariant, we can assume that the support of μ is contained in the interval $[-R, R]$. Throughout, we will let m_δ denote the median of μ_δ, and $D_0(\delta)$ and $D_1(\delta)$ be as defined in Theorem 1, as applied to the measure μ_δ. We therefore want to bound

$$D_0(\delta) = \sup_{x<m_\delta} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_{-\infty}^{x} p_\delta(t)dt} \cdot \int_x^{m_\delta} \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt \right)$$

and

$$D_1(\delta) = \sup_{x>m_\delta} \left(\int_{x}^{\infty} p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_{x}^{\infty} p_\delta(t)dt} \cdot \int_{m_\delta}^{x} \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt \right).$$

First note that

$$p_\delta(t)dt = \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta}\right) d\mu(s)$$

and

$$p'_\delta(t)dt = \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \cdot \frac{s-t}{\delta} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta}\right) d\mu(s);$$

differentiation under the integral is easily justified by the Dominated Convergence Theorem.

Lemma 5. *For $x \geq R$,*

$$\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \leq \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\delta}{x - R + \sqrt{\delta}}\, p_\delta(x).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\delta}{x - R + \sqrt{\delta}}\, p_\delta(x) - \int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt &= -\frac{4}{3}\delta \int_x^\infty \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{p_\delta(t)}{t - R + \sqrt{\delta}}\right) dt - \int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \\ &= \int_x^\infty \left(-\frac{4}{3}\delta \cdot \frac{p'_\delta(t)(t - R + \sqrt{\delta}) - p_\delta(t)}{(t - R + \sqrt{\delta})^2} - p_\delta(t) \right) dt.\end{aligned}$$

Writing out the integral expressions for p, p' and simplifying, we get that the above expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_x^\infty \frac{1}{(t - R + \sqrt{\delta})^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \int_{-R}^{R} \left(\frac{4}{3}\delta + \frac{4}{3}(t - R + \sqrt{\delta})(t - s) - (t - R + \sqrt{\delta})^2 \right) \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta}\right) d\mu(s)dt \\ \geq &\int_x^\infty \frac{1}{(t - R + \sqrt{\delta})^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \int_{-R}^{R} \left(\frac{4}{3}\delta + \frac{4}{3}(t - R + \sqrt{\delta})(t - R) - (t - R + \sqrt{\delta})^2 \right) \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta}\right) d\mu(s)dt \\ &\text{since } t \geq R \text{ and } s \leq R \\ = &\int_x^\infty \frac{1}{(t - R + \sqrt{\delta})^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{1}{3}(t - R - \sqrt{\delta})^2 \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta}\right) d\mu(s)dt \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

The next lemma is an elementary calculation; we omit the details.

Lemma 6. *For $x \geq 0$,*

$$\int_x^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2}\right) du \geq \frac{1}{x+1} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_0^x \exp\left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right) du \leq \frac{2x}{x^2+1} \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right).$$

Lemma 7. *For $x \geq R$,*

$$\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \geq \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(x + R + \sqrt{\delta})} \exp\left(-\frac{(x+R)^2}{2\delta}\right).$$

Proof. We have

$$\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt = \int_x^\infty \int_{-R}^R \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta}\right) d\mu(s)dt \geq \int_x^\infty \int_{-R}^R \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t+R)^2}{2\delta}\right) d\mu(s)dt$$
$$= \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t+R)^2}{2\delta}\right) dt,$$

the above inequality being because $(t \geq R \text{ and } -R \leq s \leq R) \Rightarrow -(t-s)^2 \geq -(t+R)^2$. Letting $u = (t+R)/\sqrt{\delta}$ in the last integral above, we get

$$\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{(x+R)/\sqrt{\delta}}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2}\right) du \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{(x+R)/\sqrt{\delta}+1} \exp\left(-\frac{(x+R)^2}{2\delta}\right)$$
by Lemma 6
$$= \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(x+R+\sqrt{\delta})} \exp\left(-\frac{(x+R)^2}{2\delta}\right),$$

as desired. □

Lemma 8. *For $x \geq R$,*

$$\int_R^x \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt \leq \frac{2\delta(x-R)}{((x-R)^2+\delta)p_\delta(x)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{2\delta(x-R)}{((x-R)^2+\delta)p_\delta(x)} - \int_R^x \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt = \int_R^x \left(\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{2\delta(t-R)}{((t-R)^2+\delta)p_\delta(t)}\right) - \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}\right) dt.$$

Letting $u = (t-R)/\sqrt{\delta}$ and writing out the integral expressions for p, p' and simplifying, we get that the above expression is equal to

$$= \int_0^{(x-R)/\sqrt{\delta}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(u^2+1)^2 p_\delta(\sqrt{\delta}u+R)^2}$$
$$\cdot \int_{-R}^R \left(-u^4 - 4u^2 + 1 + 2(u^3+u)\left(u + \frac{R-s}{\sqrt{\delta}}\right)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(u+R-s)^2}{2\delta}\right) d\mu(s)du$$
$$\geq \int_0^{(x-R)/\sqrt{\delta}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(u^2+1)^2 p_\delta(\sqrt{\delta}u+R)^2}$$
$$\cdot \int_{-R}^R \left(-u^4 - 4u^2 + 1 + 2(u^3+u)\cdot u\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(u+R-s)^2}{2\delta}\right) d\mu(s)du$$
since $u \geq 0$ and $s \leq R$
$$= \int_0^{(x-R)/\sqrt{\delta}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(u^2+1)^2 p_\delta(\sqrt{\delta}u+R)^2} \int_{-R}^R (u^2-1)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{(u+R-s)^2}{2\delta}\right) d\mu(s)du$$
$$\geq 0,$$

as desired. □

Lemma 9.

$$D_1(\delta) \leq \frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{e} \cdot \frac{\delta^{3/2}R}{4R^2+\delta} \exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right) + \frac{2}{3}(2\pi+1)(1+\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{\delta}+2R)^2$$

and

$$D_0(\delta) \leq \frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{e} \cdot \frac{\delta^{3/2}R}{4R^2+\delta} \exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right) + \frac{2}{3}(2\pi+1)(1+\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{\delta}+2R)^2.$$

Proof. We only present the proof for the bound on $D_1(\delta)$; the proof for the bound on $D_0(\delta)$ involves analagous lemmas and identical reasoning, and is therefore omitted.

By definition of $D_1(\delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1(\delta) &= \sup_{x>m_\delta} \int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt} \cdot \int_{m_\delta}^x \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt \\
&= \max\left(\sup_{m_\delta<x\le R} \int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt} \cdot \int_{m_\delta}^x \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt, \sup_{x\ge R} \int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt} \cdot \int_{m_\delta}^x \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt \right) \\
&\le \max\left(\sup_{m_\delta<x\le R} \int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt} \cdot \int_{m_\delta}^x \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \sup_{x\ge R} \int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt} \cdot \int_{m_\delta}^R \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt + \sup_{x\ge R} \int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt} \cdot \int_R^x \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt \right) \\
&= \max(A, B+C),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A &\coloneqq \sup_{m_\delta<x\le R} \int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt} \cdot \int_{m_\delta}^x \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt, \\
B &\coloneqq \sup_{x\ge R} \int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt} \cdot \int_{m_\delta}^R \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt, \\
C &\coloneqq \sup_{x\ge R} \int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt} \cdot \int_R^x \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 5,7, and 8,

$$\begin{aligned}
C &\le \sup_{x\ge R} \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\delta}{x-R+\sqrt{\delta}}\, p_\delta(x) \cdot \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{\delta}}(x+R+\sqrt{\delta}) \exp\left(\frac{(x+R)^2}{2\delta} \right) \right) \cdot \frac{2\delta(x-R)}{((x-R)^2+\delta)p_\delta(x)} \\
&= \sup_{u\ge 0} \frac{8}{3} \frac{\delta u}{(u+1)(u^2+1)} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{2\pi}{\delta}(\sqrt{\delta}(u+1)+2R)^2 \right) + \frac{(\sqrt{\delta}u+2R)^2}{2\delta} \right] \\
&\quad \text{where } u = \frac{x-R}{\sqrt{\delta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\log y \le y/e$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
C &\le \sup_{u\ge 0} \frac{8}{3} \frac{\delta u}{(u+1)(u^2+1)} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2e} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{\delta}(\sqrt{\delta}(u+1)+2R)^2 + \frac{(\sqrt{\delta}(u+1)+2R)^2}{2\delta} \right] \\
&= \sup_{u\ge 0} \frac{4}{3e}(2\pi+e) \cdot \frac{(\sqrt{\delta}(u+1)+2R)^2}{u+1} \cdot \frac{u}{u^2+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $u/(u^2+1) \le (1+\sqrt{2})/2(u+1)$ and simplifying, we finally get

$$C \le \sup_{u\ge 0} \frac{2}{3e}(2\pi+e)(1+\sqrt{2}) \left(\sqrt{\delta} + \frac{2R}{u+1} \right)^2 = \frac{2}{3e}(2\pi+e)(1+\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{\delta}+2R)^2.$$

We now bound A. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
A &\le \sup_{m_\delta<x\le R} \left(\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt \cdot \log \frac{1}{\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t)dt} \right) \cdot \sup_{m_\delta<x\le R} \int_{m_\delta}^x \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt \\
&\le \sup_{0<u<1} \left(u \log \frac{1}{u} \right) \cdot \int_{m_\delta}^R \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt \\
&\le \frac{1}{e} \int_{-R}^R \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}p_\delta(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}}\int_{-R}^{R}\exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta}\right)d\mu(s) \geq& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}}\int_{-R}^{R}\exp\left(-\frac{(|t|+R)^2}{2\delta}\right)d\mu(s)\\ &\text{since } -R\leq s\leq R \Rightarrow -(t-s)^2 \geq -(|t|+R)^2\\ =&\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}}\exp\left(-\frac{(|t|+R)^2}{2\delta}\right),\end{aligned}$$

so

$$A \leq \frac{1}{e}\int_{-R}^{R}\sqrt{2\pi\delta}\exp\left(\frac{(|t|+R)^2}{2\delta}\right)dt = \frac{2}{e}\int_{0}^{R}\sqrt{2\pi\delta}\exp\left(\frac{(t+R)^2}{2\delta}\right)dt \leq \frac{2}{e}\int_{-R}^{R}\sqrt{2\pi\delta}\exp\left(\frac{(t+R)^2}{2\delta}\right)dt.$$

Letting $u = (t+R)/\sqrt{\delta}$ above and applying Lemma 6, we get

$$A \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}\delta}{e}\int_0^{2R/\sqrt{\delta}}\exp\left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right)du \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}\delta}{e}\cdot\frac{2\cdot 2R/\sqrt{\delta}}{4R^2/\delta+1}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right) = \frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}R}{4R^2+\delta}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$B \leq \sup_{0<u<1}\left(u\log\frac{1}{u}\right)\cdot\int_{m_\delta}^{R}\frac{1}{p_\delta(t)}dt \leq \frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}R}{4R^2+\delta}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}D_1(\delta) \leq& \max\left[\frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}R}{4R^2+\delta}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right), \frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}R}{4R^2+\delta}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right) + \frac{2}{3e}(2\pi+e)(1+\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{\delta}+2R)^2\right]\\ =&\frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}R}{4R^2+\delta}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right) + \frac{2}{3e}(2\pi+e)(1+\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{\delta}+2R)^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

To bound $c(\delta)$ and conclude the proof of Theorem 2, we apply Theorem 1 and Lemma 9:

$$\begin{aligned}c(\delta) \leq& 468(D_0(\delta)+D_1(\delta))\\ \leq& 468\cdot 2\cdot\left(\frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}R}{4R^2+\delta}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right) + \frac{2}{3e}(2\pi+e)(1+\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{\delta}+2R)^2\right)\\ \leq& 6905\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}R}{4R^2+\delta}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right) + 4989\cdot(\sqrt{\delta}+2R)^2.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, suppose $\delta \leq R^2$. Now by elementary calculus, $\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right)$ is decreasing in δ for $\delta \leq R^2$, so

$$\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right) \geq \left[\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right)\right]_{\delta=R^2} = e^2R^2,$$

giving

$$R^2 \leq e^{-2}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}c(\delta) \leq& 468\cdot 2\cdot\left(\frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}R}{4R^2+\delta}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right) + \frac{2}{3e}(2\pi+e)(1+\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{\delta}+2R)^2\right)\\ \leq& 936\cdot\left(\frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}R}{4R^2}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right) + \frac{2}{3e}(2\pi+e)(1+\sqrt{2})(3R)^2\right)\\ \leq& 936\cdot\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{e} + \frac{6}{e}(2\pi+e)(1+\sqrt{2})e^{-2}\right)\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right)\\ \leq& 7803\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{2R^2}{\delta}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. □

3. Proof of Theorem 3

To prove Theorem 3, we use the following theorem (see [10, p.288, Thm. 1.2]):

Theorem 10. (Cattiaux, Guillin, Wu). *Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $d\mu(x) = e^{-V(x)}dx$ for some $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose the following:*

(1) There exists a constant $K \leq 0$ such that $\mathrm{Hess}(V) \geq KI$.
(2) There exists a $W \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $W \geq 1$ and constants $b, c > 0$ such that

$$\Delta W(x) - \langle \nabla V, \nabla W \rangle(x) \leq (b - c|x|^2)W(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then μ satisfies a LSI.

In particular, let $r_0, b', \lambda > 0$ be such that

$$\Delta W(x) - \langle \nabla V, \nabla W \rangle(x) \leq -\lambda W(x) + b' \mathbb{1}_{B_{r_0}}$$

where B_{r_0} denotes the ball centered at 0 of radius r_0 (the existence of such r_0, b', λ is implied by Assumption 2). By [3, p.61, Thm. 1.4]*, μ satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant C_P; that is, for every sufficiently smooth g with $\int g \, d\mu = 0$,*

$$\int g^2 d\mu \leq C_P \int |\nabla g|^2 d\mu;$$

C_P can be taken to be $(1 + b'\kappa_{r_0})/\lambda$, where κ_{r_0} is the Poincaré constant of μ restricted to B_{r_0}. A bound for κ_{r_0} is

$$\kappa_{r_0} \leq D r_0^2 \frac{\sup_{x \in B_{r_0}} p(x)}{\inf_{x \in B_{r_0}} p(x)},$$

where $p(x) = e^{-V(x)}$ and D is some absolute constant that can be taken to be $4/\pi^2$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A =& \frac{2}{c}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{K}{2}\right) + \epsilon \\ B =& \frac{2}{c}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{K}{2}\right)\left(b + c\int |x|^2 d\mu(x)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ is an arbitrarily chosen parameter. Then μ satisfies a LSI with constant $A + (B+2)C_P$.

We remark that the statement of Theorem 10 is given in [10] in the more general context of Riemannian manifolds. Also, the constants given above are derived in [10] but not presented there; for our purposes we have collected those constants and presented them here.

With the above, we now prove Theorem 3, which we restate here for the reader's convenience.

Theorem 3. *Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose support is contained in a ball of radius R, and let γ_δ be the centered Gaussian of variance δ with $0 < \delta \leq R^2$, i.e., $d\gamma_\delta(x) = (2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}\exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{2\delta})dx$. Then for some absolute constant K, the optimal log-Sobolev constant $c(\delta)$ for $\mu * \gamma_\delta$ satisfies*

$$c(\delta) \leq K\, R^2 \exp\left(20n + \frac{5R^2}{\delta}\right).$$

K can be taken above to be 289.

Proof. By translation invariance of LSI, we will assume that μ is supported in B_R. We will apply Theorem 10 to μ_δ and compute the appropriate bounds and expressions for K, W, b, c, r_0, b', λ, κ_{r_0}, C_P, $\int |x|^2 d\mu_\delta(x)$, A, and B.

To find K, b, and c, we follow the computations as done in [27, pp. 7-8]. Let $V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2\delta}$ and $V_\delta(x) = -\log(p_\delta(x))$, so

$$d\mu_\delta(x) = e^{-V_\delta(x)}dx = d(e^{-V} * \mu)(x).$$

Also let

$$d\mu_x(z) = \frac{1}{p_\delta(x)} e^{-V(x-z)} d\mu(z),$$

so μ_x is a probability measure for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|X| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hess}(V_\delta)(X,X)(x) =& \left(\int_{B_R} \nabla_X V(x-z) d\mu_x(z)\right)^2 - \int_{B_R} \left(|\nabla_X V(x-z)|^2 - \mathrm{Hess}(V)(X,X)(x-z)\right) d\mu_x(z) \\ =& \frac{1}{\delta} - \left(\int_{B_R} |\nabla_X V(x-z)|^2 d\mu_x(z) - \left(\int_{B_R} \nabla_X V(x-z) d\mu_x(z)\right)^2\right) \\ & \text{since } \mathrm{Hess}(V) = \frac{1}{\delta} I.\end{aligned}$$

But for any C^1 function f,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R} f^2 d\mu_x(z) - \left(\int_{B_R} f \, d\mu_x(z)\right)^2 =& \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R \times B_R} (f(z) - f(y))^2 d\mu_x(z) d\mu_x(y) \\ \leq& 2R^2 \sup |\nabla f|^2,\end{aligned}$$

so for $f = \nabla_X V$, we get

$$\mathrm{Hess}(V_\delta)(X,X)(x) \geq \frac{1}{\delta} - 2R^2 \sup |\nabla(\nabla_X V)|^2 = \frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{2R^2}{\delta^2}.$$

So we take

$$K = \frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{2R^2}{\delta^2}.$$

Note $K \leq 0$ since $\delta \leq R^2$.

Let

$$W(x) = \exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{16\delta}\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Delta W - \langle \nabla V_\delta, \nabla W \rangle}{W}(x) =& \frac{n}{8\delta} + \frac{|x|^2}{64\delta^2} - \frac{1}{16\delta} \int_{B_R} \langle x, \nabla V(x-z) \rangle d\mu_x(z) \\ =& \frac{n}{8\delta} + \frac{|x|^2}{64\delta^2} - \frac{1}{16\delta^2} \int_{B_R} \left(|x|^2 - \langle x, z \rangle\right) d\mu_x(z) \\ \leq& \frac{n}{8\delta} - \frac{3|x|^2}{64\delta^2} + \frac{1}{16\delta^2} \sup_{z \in B_R} \langle x, z \rangle \\ =& \frac{n}{8\delta} - \frac{3|x|^2}{64\delta^2} + \frac{1}{16\delta^2} R|x|.\end{aligned}$$

Using $|x| \leq |x|^2/2R + R/2$ above, we get

$$\frac{\Delta W - \langle \nabla V_\delta, \nabla W \rangle}{W}(x) \leq \frac{n}{8\delta} - \frac{3|x|^2}{64\delta^2} + \frac{1}{16\delta^2} R \left(\frac{|x|^2}{2R} + \frac{R}{2}\right) = \frac{n}{8\delta} + \frac{R^2}{32\delta^2} - \frac{1}{64\delta^2}|x|^2,$$

so we take

$$\begin{aligned}b =& \frac{n}{8\delta} + \frac{R^2}{32\delta^2}, \\ c =& \frac{1}{64\delta^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$\begin{aligned}r_0 =& \sqrt{16n\delta + 2R^2}, \\ b' =& \frac{1}{4\delta} \exp\left(n + \frac{R^2}{8\delta} - 1\right), \\ \lambda =& \frac{n}{8\delta}.\end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$b - c|x|^2 \leq -\lambda + b' \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{16\delta}\right) \mathbb{1}_{B_{r_0}}, \qquad \text{i.e.,} \qquad \frac{b + \lambda - c|x|^2}{b'} \exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{16\delta}\right) \leq \mathbb{1}_{B_{r_0}},$$

so that

$$\Delta W(x) - \langle \nabla V, \nabla W \rangle(x) \leq -\lambda W(x) + b' \mathbb{1}_{B_{r_0}}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{b+\lambda-c|x|^2}{b'}\exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{16\delta}\right)=&4\delta\exp\left(-n-\frac{R^2}{8\delta}+1\right)\left(\frac{n}{8\delta}+\frac{R^2}{32\delta^2}+\frac{n}{8\delta}-\frac{|x|^2}{64\delta^2}\right)\exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{16\delta}\right)\\=&\left(n+\frac{R^2}{8\delta}-\frac{|x|^2}{16\delta}\right)\exp\left(-\left(n+\frac{R^2}{8\delta}-\frac{|x|^2}{16\delta}\right)+1\right).\end{aligned}$$

For $|x|\geq r_0$, the above expression is nonpositive, and for $|x|\leq r_0$, the above expression is of the form ue^{-u+1}, which has a maximum value of 1, as desired.

Now we estimate κ_{r_0} by estimating $\sup_{x\in B_{r_0}}p_\delta(x)$ and $\inf_{x\in B_{r_0}}p_\delta(x)$. For $x\in B_{r_0}$, we have

$$p_\delta(x)=\int_{B_R}(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}\exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2\delta}\right)d\mu(y)\leq\int_{B_R}(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}d\mu(y)=(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}p_\delta(x)=\int_{B_R}(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}\exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2\delta}\right)d\mu(y)\geq&\int_{B_R}(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}\exp\left(-\frac{(r_0+R)^2}{2\delta}\right)d\mu(y)\\=&(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}\exp\left(-\frac{(r_0+R)^2}{2\delta}\right),\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\kappa_{r_0}\leq Dr_0^2\frac{\sup_{x\in B_{r_0}}p(x)}{\inf_{x\in B_{r_0}}p(x)}\leq Dr_0^2\exp\left(\frac{(r_0+R)^2}{2\delta}\right).$$

We then take

$$\begin{aligned}C_P=&\frac{1+b'\kappa_{r_0}}{\lambda}\\\leq&\frac{8\delta}{n}\left(1+\frac{1}{4\delta}\exp\left(n+\frac{R^2}{8\delta}-1\right)\cdot Dr_0^2\exp\left(\frac{(r_0+R)^2}{2\delta}\right)\right)\\=&\frac{8\delta}{n}+\frac{D}{e}\left(32\delta+\frac{4R^2}{n}\right)\exp\left(n+\frac{R^2}{8\delta}+\frac{(\sqrt{16n\delta+2R^2}+R)^2}{2\delta}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using $\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}\leq\sqrt{2(a+b)}$ and the assumptions $\delta\leq R^2$ and $n\geq 1$ above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}C_P\leq&\frac{8R^2}{1}+\frac{D}{e}\left(32R^2+\frac{4R^2}{1}\right)\exp\left(n+\frac{R^2}{8\delta}+\frac{\sqrt{2(16n\delta+2R^2+R^2)}^2}{2\delta}\right)\\=&8R^2+\frac{36D}{e}R^2\exp\left(17n+\frac{25R^2}{8\delta}\right)\\\leq&\left(8+\frac{36D}{e}\right)R^2\exp\left(17n+\frac{25R^2}{8\delta}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate $\int|x|^2d\mu_\delta(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|x|^2d\mu_\delta(x)=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{B_R}|x|^2(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}\exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2\delta}\right)d\mu(y)dx\\=&(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}\int_{B_R}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|x+y|^2\exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2\delta}\right)dx\,d\mu(y)\\&\text{by replacing } x\to x+y\\=&(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}\int_{B_R}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(|x|^2+|y|^2)\exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2\delta}\right)dx\,d\mu(y)\\&+(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2}\int_{B_R}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2\langle x,y\rangle\exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2\delta}\right)dx\,d\mu(y).\end{aligned}$$

The second integral in the last expression above equals 0 since the integrand is an odd function of x. So

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 d\mu_\delta(x) =&(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2} \int_{B_R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|x|^2 + |y|^2) \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2\delta}\right) dx\, d\mu(y)\\ \leq&(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B_R} (|x|^2 + R^2) \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2\delta}\right) d\mu(y) dx\\ =&(2\pi\delta)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|x|^2 + R^2) \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2\delta}\right) dx\\ =&n\delta + R^2,\end{aligned}$$

the last integral computed using polar coordinates.

To get expressions for A, B, we choose $\epsilon = 16\delta$; then A, B satisfy

$$A = \frac{2}{c}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{K}{2}\right) + \epsilon = 128\delta^2\left(\frac{1}{16\delta} - \left(\frac{1}{2\delta} - \frac{R^2}{\delta^2}\right)\right) + 16\delta = 128R^2 - 40\delta \leq 128R^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}B = \frac{2}{c}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{K}{2}\right)\left(b + c\int |x|^2 d\mu_\delta(x)\right) \leq&128\delta^2\left(\frac{1}{16\delta} - \left(\frac{1}{2\delta} - \frac{R^2}{\delta^2}\right)\right)\left(\frac{n}{8\delta} + \frac{R^2}{32\delta^2} + \frac{1}{64\delta^2}\left(n\delta + R^2\right)\right)\\ =&\frac{18nR^2}{\delta} + \frac{6R^4}{\delta^2} - \frac{63n}{8} - \frac{21R^2}{8}\\ \leq&\frac{18nR^2}{\delta} + \frac{6R^4}{\delta^2} - 2.\end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together, we get that the optimal log-Sobolev constant $c(\delta)$ for μ_δ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}c(\delta) \leq&A + (B+2)C_P\\ \leq&128R^2 + \left(\frac{18nR^2}{\delta} + \frac{6R^4}{\delta^2} - 2 + 2\right)\left(8 + \frac{36D}{e}\right) R^2 \exp\left(17n + \frac{25R^2}{8\delta}\right)\\ =&128R^2 + 12 \cdot \frac{R^2}{2\delta}\left(3n + \frac{R^2}{\delta}\right)\left(8 + \frac{36D}{e}\right) R^2 \exp\left(17n + \frac{25R^2}{8\delta}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Applying $u \leq e^u$ to two of the terms in the expression above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}c(\delta) \leq&128R^2 + 12\exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right)\exp\left(3n + \frac{R^2}{\delta}\right)\left(8 + \frac{36D}{e}\right) R^2 \exp\left(17n + \frac{25R^2}{8\delta}\right)\\ =&128R^2 + \left(96 + \frac{432D}{e}\right) R^2 \exp\left(20n + \frac{37R^2}{8\delta}\right)\\ \leq&\left(128 + 96 + \frac{432D}{e}\right) R^2 \exp\left(20n + \frac{5R^2}{\delta}\right)\\ \leq&289R^2 \exp\left(20n + \frac{5R^2}{\delta}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3. □

We conjecture that the optimal upper bound for $c(\delta)$ is independent of n; see Example 21 and the remark following that example.

4. Application to Random Matrices

We now give an application of Theorem 3 to random matrix theory. For each natural number n, let Y_n be an $n \times n$ random real symmetric matrix whose upper triangular entries are independent, and let $X_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Y_n$. By a classical result in random matrix theory due to Wigner [25, 26], if the entries of Y_n are identically distributed and the common distribution has finite second moment, then the empirical law of eigenvalues of X_n converges weakly in probability to its mean. That is: let $\lambda_1^n, \lambda_2^n, \ldots, \lambda_n^n$ be the (necessarily real) eigenvalues of X_n, and let

$$\mu_{X_n} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_k^n}.$$

Then for all $\epsilon > 0$ and all Lipschitz $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. In particular, Wigner showed that if the common distribution has mean 0 and variance 1, then the empirical law of eigenvalues of X_n converges weakly to the semicircle distribution.

The original proof of this fact was combinatorial in nature; in 2008, Guionnet (see [16, p.70, Thm. 6.6]) proved this convergence using logarithmic Sobolev inequalities in the special case where the joint laws of entries of the X_n satisfy a LSI, using the following theorem:

Theorem 11. (Guionnet). *Let Y_n, X_n be as above. If the joint law of entries of Y_n satisfies a LSI with constant c, then for all $\epsilon > 0$ and all Lipschitz $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq 2\exp\left(\frac{-n^2\epsilon^2}{4c||f||^2_{\text{Lip}}}\right).$$

LSIs for the distributions of the individual entries are related to LSIs for the joint laws of entries by following product property of LSIs (see [15, p. 1074, Rk. 3.3]):

Theorem 12. (Segal′s Lemma). *Let ν_1, ν_2 be probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ that each satisfy a LSI with constants c_1, c_2, respectively. Then the probability measure $\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$ satisfies a LSI with constant* $\max(c_1, c_2)$.

The convergence proven by Wigner is in fact almost sure convergence; if the joint laws of entries also satisfy a LSI, then one could also use Theorem 11 and the Borel-Cantelli lemma to deduce almost sure convergence. Using Theorem 11 and a weaker version of Theorem 2, it is shown in [31] that, under certain integrability conditions, the empirical law of eigenvalues μ_{X_n} converges weakly in probability to its mean, *regardless* of whether or not the joint laws of entries satisfy a LSI. Here, we will not only allow the entries to not be identically distributed, but we will also allow for some degree of long-range dependence in the entries; we will show convergence using Theorem 3 and similar proof technique as in [31]. We first state a lemma from matrix theory (see [19, p.37, Thm. 1, and p.39, Rk. 2]):

Lemma 13. (Hoffman, Wielandt). *Let A, B be symmetric $n \times n$ matrices with eigenvalues $\lambda_1^A \leq \lambda_2^A \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n^A$ and $\lambda_1^B \leq \lambda_2^B \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n^B$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\lambda_i^A - \lambda_i^B)^2 \leq \text{Tr}[(A-B)^2].$$

We now prove Theorem 4, which we restate here for the reader's convenience.

Theorem 4. *For each natural number n, let Y_n be an $n \times n$ random real symmetric matrix, and let $X_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}Y_n$. Suppose the following:*

(1) The family

$$\left\{[\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}^2\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}, 1\leq i,j\leq n}$$

is uniformly integrable, where for a random variable Z, $\mathring{Z} \coloneqq Z - \mathbb{E}(Z)$.

(2) For each n, there exists d_n and a partition $\Pi = \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m\}$ of $\{[Y_n]_{ij}\}_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n}$ such that:

(a) For each $1 \leq k \leq m$, $|P_k| \leq d_n$.

(b) For each $1 \leq k \leq m$, every entry in P_k is independent of $\bigcup_{l\neq k} P_l$.

(c) As $n \to \infty$,

$$\frac{d_n}{\log n} \to 0.$$

Then the empirical law of eigenvalues μ_{X_n} of X_n converges weakly to its mean in probability.

Proof. Suppose $\left\{[\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}^2\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}, 1\leq i,j\leq n}$ is uniformly integrable. We will first suppose for every i, j, n that $\text{ran}\,([Y_n]_{ij})$ is contained in an interval of length $2R$; we will remove this assumption at the end of the proof (on page 13).

Let $\epsilon > 0$, and let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz. For each n, let $\widetilde{Y}_n = Y_n + \sqrt{\delta}G_n$ and $\widetilde{X}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\widetilde{Y}_n$, where G_n is a random symmetric matrix whose upper triangular entries are independent (and independent of Y_n) and all have a Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and variance 1, and $\delta = \delta(n)$ is a positive real number that we will send to 0 as $n \to \infty$ (later in the proof).

Let ν be the joint law of entries of Y_n, and $\widetilde{\nu}$ be the joint law of entries of $\widetilde{Y}_n$. By Assumption (2b), ν can be expressed as a product $\nu = \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu_m$, where each ν_k is a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{|P_k|}$. By construction, $\widetilde{\nu} = \gamma_\delta * \nu$. Since γ_δ is itself a product of Gaussians, convolution by γ_δ distributes across product so we have $\widetilde{\nu} = (\gamma_\delta * \nu_1) \otimes (\gamma_\delta * \nu_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\gamma_\delta * \nu_m)$. (We suppress further notation, but each Gaussian here is now of the appropriate dimension.) By Assumption (2a), each ν_k is supported in a ball of radius at most $R\sqrt{|P_k|} \leq R\sqrt{d_n}$. So by Theorems 3 and 12, $\widetilde{\nu}$ satisfies a LSI with constant

$$\begin{aligned} c(\delta) \leq & K(R\sqrt{d_n})^2 \exp\left(20d_n + \frac{5(R\sqrt{d_n})^2}{\delta}\right) \\ \leq & KR^2 \exp\left(21d_n + \frac{5R^2 d_n}{\delta}\right) \\ & \text{since } d_n \leq \exp(d_n). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq & \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \\ & + \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n} - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \\ & + \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where μ_{X_n} and $\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}$ are the empirical laws of eigenvalues for X_n and $\widetilde{X}_n$. We will show that each of the three terms on the right hand side of (1) tend to 0 as $n \to \infty$.

We remark that the next three lemmas and proofs are nearly identical to what was done in [31, Lemmas 11,12,13]; we include their short proofs here for completeness.

Lemma 14.

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \leq \frac{9||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\epsilon^2}\ \delta.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_1^n \leq \lambda_2^n \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n^n$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}_1^n \leq \widetilde{\lambda}_2^n \leq \ldots \leq \widetilde{\lambda}_n^n$ be the eigenvalues of X_n and $\widetilde{X}_n$. Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 13,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n ||f||_{\text{Lip}} \left|\lambda_i^n - \widetilde{\lambda}_i^n\right| &\leq \frac{||f||_{\text{Lip}}}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i^n - \widetilde{\lambda}_i^n)^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{||f||_{\text{Lip}}}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\text{Tr}[(X_n - \widetilde{X}_n)^2]\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Markov's inequality, we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{||f||_{\text{Lip}}}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\text{Tr}[(X_n - \widetilde{X}_n)^2]\right)^{1/2} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\text{Tr}[(X_n - \widetilde{X}_n)^2] \geq \frac{\epsilon^2 n}{9||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{9||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\epsilon^2 n}\ \mathbb{E}\left(\text{Tr}[(X_n - \widetilde{X}_n)^2]\right) \\ &= \frac{9||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\epsilon^2 n} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \mathbb{E}\left(([X_n]_{ij} - [\widetilde{X}_n]_{ij})^2\right) \\ &= \frac{9||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\epsilon^2}\ \delta. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 15.

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n} - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \leq 2\exp\left(\frac{-n^2\epsilon^2}{36c||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}\right),$$

where $c = c(\delta)$ is the log Sobolev constant for $\widetilde{\nu}$.

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 11. □

Lemma 16. *If $\delta(n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) = 0$$

for all n sufficiently large.

Proof. Note that the sequence

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right|$$

is a sequence of real numbers, so the above probability will eventually be equal to 0 if $\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right|$ converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$. Doing similar estimates as in Lemma 14, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n} - \int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right|\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{||f||_{\text{Lip}}}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\text{Tr}[(X_n - \widetilde{X}_n)^2]\right)^{1/2}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{||f||_{\text{Lip}}}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\text{Tr}[(X_n - \widetilde{X}_n)^2]\right)\right)^{1/2} \\
&= ||f||_{\text{Lip}}\sqrt{\delta(n)} \\
&\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

the last inequality following from Hölder's inequality applied to $\left(\text{Tr}[(X_n - \widetilde{X}_n)^2]\right)^{1/2}$ and the constant function 1. So $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widetilde{X}_n}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) = 0$ for all sufficiently large n. □

We now construct our $\delta = \delta(n)$ so that $\delta \to 0$ at the appropriate rate as $n \to \infty$. For each n sufficiently large, let

$$\delta(n) = \frac{5R^2 d_n}{\log \frac{n}{KR^2} - 21 d_n}.$$

Note $\delta(n) > 0$, and $\delta(n) \to 0$ by Assumption (2c). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\delta(n)) &\leq KR^2 \exp\left(21 d_n + \frac{5R^2 d_n}{\delta(n)}\right) \\
&= n.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemmas 14,15, and 16, to (1), we get that for sufficiently large n,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \epsilon\right) &\leq \frac{9||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\epsilon^2}\ \delta(n) + 2\exp\left(\frac{-n^2\epsilon^2}{36c(\delta(n))||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}\right) + 0 \\
&\leq \frac{9||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\epsilon^2}\ \delta(n) + 2\exp\left(\frac{-n\epsilon^2}{36||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}\right) \\
&\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

We therefore have weak convergence in probability.

To obtain convergence in the general case where the entries of the Y_n need not be bounded, we apply a standard "cutoff" argument. Let $\epsilon > 0$, and let $\eta > 0$. By uniform integrability, there exists some $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left([\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}^2 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|[\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}| > C\}}\right) < \min(1, \eta) \cdot \epsilon^2/(9||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2)$$

for all i, j, n. Let $\widehat{Y}_n$ be the matrix defined by

$$[\widehat{Y}_n]_{ij} = [Y_n]_{ij} - [\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|[\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}| > C\}},$$

and $[\widehat{X}_n]_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}[\widehat{Y}_n]_{ij}$. Note that for all n, i, j,

$$\begin{aligned}[\widehat{Y}_n]_{ij} - \mathbb{E}([Y_n]_{ij}) =& [Y_n]_{ij} - [\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|[\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}|>C\}} - \mathbb{E}([Y_n]_{ij}) \\ =& [\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij} - [\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|[\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}|>C\}} \\ =& [\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|[\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}|\leq C\}}\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\operatorname{ran}\left([\widehat{Y}_n]_{ij}\right) \subseteq \left[\mathbb{E}([Y_n]_{ij}) - C, \mathbb{E}([Y_n]_{ij}) + C\right],$$

which is an interval of length $2C$. (We remark that it is not necessary to normalize $\widehat{Y}_n$ since no assumptions on the values of the mean or the variance of Y_n were used.) Then, similarly as before, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq& \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \int f\ d\mu_{\widehat{X}_n}\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \\ &+ \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{\widehat{X}_n} - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widehat{X}_n}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \\ &+ \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widehat{X}_n}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The first term on the right hand side of (2) is bounded using the same reasoning as done in the proof of Lemma 14:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \int f\ d\mu_{\widehat{X}_n}\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \leq& \frac{9||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\epsilon^2 n} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left(([Y_n]_{ij} - [\widehat{Y}_n]_{ij})^2\right) \\ =& \frac{9||f||_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\epsilon^2 n} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left([\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}^2 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|[\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}|>C\}}\right) \\ <& \eta.\end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side of (2) goes to 0 as $n \to \infty$ by the case we just proved.

The third term is bounded as done in Lemma 16:

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widehat{X}_n}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \leq& \frac{||f||_{\text{Lip}}}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{Tr}[(X_n - \widehat{X}_n)^2]\right)\right)^{1/2} \\ =& \frac{||f||_{\text{Lip}}}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left([\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}^2 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|[\mathring{Y}_n]_{ij}|>C\}}\right)\right)^{1/2} \\ <& \frac{\epsilon}{3},\end{aligned}$$

so $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{\widehat{X}_n}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) = 0$. So

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\ \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq \eta.$$

Since $\eta > 0$ was arbitrary, we have $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f\ d\mu_{X_n} - \mathbb{E}\left(\int f\ d\mu_{X_n}\right)\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, giving convergence in probability. □

5. Examples

In this section, we examine $c(\delta)$ for the uniform measure on a compact interval in $\mathbb{R}$, and show that the uniform measure itself satisfies a LSI. We also give tight (up to absolute constants) upper and lower bounds on $c(\delta)$ for the symmetric 2-point measure on $\mathbb{R}$, and demonstrate this same (n-independent) lower bound on $c(\delta)$ for the symmetric 2-point measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Example 17. *On $\mathbb{R}$, let μ be a probability measure on $[-R, R]$ whose absolutely continuous part has a density that is bounded below by some constant $a > 0$. Then there are absolute constants K_i such that for $0 < \delta \leq R^2$,*

$$c(\delta) \leq K_1 \frac{R}{a} + K_2\,\delta + K_3\ \delta\ \log\left(\frac{1}{a^2\delta}\right).$$

The K_i can be taken above to be $K_1 = 2067, K_2 = 9016, K_3 = 1248$.

Proof. Defining A, B, C as done in the proof of Lemma 9, we have

$$A \le \sup_{0<u<1} \left(u \log \frac{1}{u} \right) \cdot \int_{m_\delta}^{R} \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)} dt \le \frac{1}{e} \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)} dt.$$

Now

$$p_\delta(t) \ge \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta} \right) \cdot a \, ds = a \int_{(t-R)/\sqrt{\delta}}^{(t+R)/\sqrt{\delta}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2} \right) du$$
$$\text{where } u = \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{\delta}}.$$

But for $0 \le t \le R$ we have $[0, R/\sqrt{\delta}] \subseteq [(t-R)/\sqrt{\delta}, (t+R)/\sqrt{\delta}]$, and for $-R \le t \le 0$ we have $[-R\sqrt{\delta}, 0] \subseteq [(t-R)/\sqrt{\delta}, (t+R)/\sqrt{\delta}]$. So by symmetry of the above integrand we have for $\delta \le R^2$,

$$p_\delta(t) \ge a \int_0^{R/\sqrt{\delta}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2} \right) du \ge a \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2} \right) du \ge \frac{a}{3}$$

for $-R \le t \le R$. So

$$A \le \frac{1}{e} \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{3}{a} \, dt = \frac{6R}{e\,a}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$B \le \frac{6R}{e\,a}.$$

To estimate C, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t) dt &\ge \int_x^\infty \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta} \right) \cdot a \, ds \, dt \\
&\ge \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \int_x^\infty \int_{R-\sqrt{\delta}}^{R} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta} \right) ds \, dt \\
&\quad \text{since } \delta \le R^2 \\
&\ge \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \int_x^\infty \int_{R-\sqrt{\delta}}^{R} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-R+\sqrt{\delta})^2}{2\delta} \right) ds \, dt \\
&\quad \text{since } R - \sqrt{\delta} \le s \le t \Rightarrow -(t-s)^2 \ge -(t-R+\sqrt{\delta})^2 \\
&= \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \int_x^\infty \sqrt{\delta} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-R+\sqrt{\delta})^2}{2\delta} \right) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $u = (x - R + \sqrt{\delta})/\sqrt{\delta}$ in the last integral above, we get that for $x \ge R$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_x^\infty p_\delta(t) dt &\ge \frac{a\sqrt{\delta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{(x-R+\sqrt{\delta})/\sqrt{\delta}}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2} \right) du \\
&\ge \frac{a\sqrt{\delta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{x - R + 2\sqrt{\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-R+\sqrt{\delta})^2}{2\delta} \right) \\
&\quad \text{by Lemma 6} \\
&\ge \frac{a\,\delta}{2\sqrt{2\pi}(x-R+\sqrt{\delta})} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-R+\sqrt{\delta})^2}{2\delta} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have (by reusing Lemmas 5 and 8),

$$\begin{aligned}
C &\le \sup_{x \ge R} \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\delta}{x - R + \sqrt{\delta}} \, p_\delta(x) \cdot \log\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{a\,\delta} (x - R + \sqrt{\delta}) \exp\left(\frac{(x-R+\sqrt{\delta})^2}{2\delta} \right) \right) \cdot \frac{2\delta(x-R)}{((x-R)^2 + \delta) p_\delta(x)} \\
&= \sup_{u \ge 0} \frac{8}{3} \frac{\delta u}{(u+1)(u^2+1)} \cdot \left[\log\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{a\sqrt{\delta}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log\left((u+1)^2 \right) + \frac{(u+1)^2}{2} \right] \\
&\quad \text{where } u = \frac{x-R}{\sqrt{\delta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\log y \leq y$ above and simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C \leq & \sup_{u \geq 0} \frac{8}{3}\delta \log\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{a\sqrt{\delta}}\right) \frac{u}{(u+1)(u^2+1)} + \sup_{u\geq 0} \frac{8}{3}\delta \ \frac{u(u+1)}{u^2+1} \\ \leq & \frac{8}{3}\delta \log\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{a\sqrt{\delta}}\right) + \frac{16}{3}\delta \\ = & \left(\frac{8}{3}\log\left(2\sqrt{2\pi}\right) + \frac{16}{3}\right)\delta + \frac{4}{3}\delta \log\left(\frac{1}{a^2\delta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as done in Section 2, we therefore have

$$D_1(\delta) \leq B + C \leq \frac{6R}{e\,a} + \left(\frac{8}{3}\log\left(2\sqrt{2\pi}\right) + \frac{16}{3}\right)\delta + \frac{4}{3}\delta \log\left(\frac{1}{a^2\delta}\right).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} c(\delta) \leq & 468 \cdot 2 \cdot (B + C) \\ \leq & 936 \cdot \left(\frac{6R}{e\,a} + \left(\frac{8}{3}\log\left(2\sqrt{2\pi}\right) + \frac{16}{3}\right)\delta + \frac{4}{3}\delta \log\left(\frac{1}{a^2\delta}\right)\right) \\ \leq & 2067\,\frac{R}{a} + 9016\,\delta + 1248\ \delta \log\left(\frac{1}{a^2\delta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

The next example requires a quick lemma, whose simple proof is left to the reader:

Lemma 18. *Let f be a bounded continuous function, and for every n, let f_n be a continuous, bounded function and let μ_n, μ be probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$. If $f_n \to f$ uniformly and $\mu_n \to \mu$ weakly, then $\int f_n \, d\mu_n \to \int f \, d\mu$.*

Example 19. *On $\mathbb{R}$, let μ be a probability measure on $[-R, R]$ that has a density p such that $0 < a \leq p \leq b$ for some constants $a, b > 0$. Then for some absolute constant K, we have μ satisfies a LSI with constant c where c satisfies*

$$c \leq K\,\frac{R}{a}.$$

K can be taken above to be 2067.

We remark that the claim in Example 19 above follows from the remark following the statement of Theorem 1 (with a better constant there); here, we will prove the above claim by using approximating convolutions and showing that the log Sobolev constants behave well under weak convergence.

Proof. Let $f \in H^1([-R, R])$, the Sobolev space on $[-R, R]$. Extend f to a continuous bounded function $\tilde{f}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ by defining $\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(-R)$ for $x \leq -R$ and $\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(R)$ for $x \geq R$. Take any positive sequence δ_n converging to 0. Then μ_{δ_n} satisfies a LSI with some constant c_n. For brevity, denote μ_{δ_n} by μ_n.

If $\int f^2 \, d\mu = 0$, then $f = 0$ μ-almost everywhere and LSI for μ clearly holds. Otherwise, $\int f^2 \, d\mu > 0$ so that $\int \tilde{f}^2 \, d\mu_n > 0$ for each n. We have that

$$\int \tilde{f}^2 \log \frac{\tilde{f}^2}{\int \tilde{f}^2 d\mu_n} d\mu_n \leq c_n \int (\tilde{f}')^2 d\mu_n$$

for each n, so

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int \tilde{f}^2 \log \frac{\tilde{f}^2}{\int \tilde{f}^2 d\mu_n} d\mu_n \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\ c_n \int (\tilde{f}')^2 d\mu_n. \tag{3}$$

To simplify the left hand side of (3), note first that the function

$$\tilde{f}^2 \log \frac{\tilde{f}^2}{\int \tilde{f}^2 d\mu}$$

is bounded and continuous since $\tilde{f}$ is. We also have

$$\tilde{f}^2 \log \frac{\tilde{f}^2}{\int \tilde{f}^2 d\mu_n} \to \tilde{f}^2 \log \frac{\tilde{f}^2}{\int \tilde{f}^2 d\mu}$$

uniformly as $n \to \infty$ since

$$\left| \tilde{f}(x)^2 \log \frac{\tilde{f}(x)^2}{\int \tilde{f}^2 d\mu_n} - \tilde{f}(x)^2 \log \frac{\tilde{f}(x)^2}{\int \tilde{f}^2 d\mu} \right| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \tilde{f}(x)^2 \right| \left| \log \frac{\int \tilde{f}^2 d\mu}{\int \tilde{f}^2 d\mu_n} \right| \to 0.$$

So by Lemma 18,

$$\int f^2 \log \frac{f^2}{\int f^2 d\mu_n} d\mu_n \to \int f^2 \log \frac{f^2}{\int f^2 d\mu} d\mu.$$

To simplify the right hand side of (3), note first that by Example 17,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} c_n \leq K R^2.$$

Also, p_n, the density for μ_n, satisfies

$$p_n(t) = \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta} \right) \cdot p(s) ds \leq b \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-s)^2}{2\delta} \right) ds \leq b,$$

and

$$p_n(t) \to p(t)$$

as $n \to \infty$ for (Lebesgue) almost every $-R \leq t \leq R$. Finally, note $(\tilde{f}')^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ since $f' \in L^2([-R, R])$ and $\tilde{f}' = 0$ outside of $[-R, R]$. so by the Dominated Convergence Theorem (with dominating function $b \cdot (\tilde{f}')^2$), we have

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} c_n \int (\tilde{f}')^2 d\mu_n \leq K R^2 \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} (\tilde{f}'(t))^2 p_n(t) dt = K R^2 \int_{-R}^{R} (\tilde{f}'(t))^2 p(t) dt = K R^2 \int (\tilde{f}')^2 d\mu.$$

So (3) becomes

$$\int \tilde{f}^2 \log \frac{\tilde{f}^2}{\int \tilde{f}^2 d\mu} d\mu \leq K R^2 \int (\tilde{f}')^2 d\mu.$$

But $\tilde{f} = f$ on $\operatorname{supp}(\mu)$, so we have

$$\int f^2 \log \frac{f^2}{\int f^2 d\mu} d\mu \leq K R^2 \int (f')^2 d\mu$$

as desired. □

Example 20. *On $\mathbb{R}$, let $\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{-R} + \delta_R)$ (so the support of μ is contained in an interval of length $2R$). Then there are absolute constants K_i such that for $0 < \delta \leq R^2$,*

$$K_1 \frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R} \exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta} \right) \leq c(\delta) \leq K_2 \frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R} \exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta} \right).$$

The K_i can be taken to be $K_1 = 1/11, K_2 = 117942$.

Proof. We first show the upper bound for $c(\delta)$. The density p_δ for μ_δ is given by

$$p_\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{(t+R)^2}{2\delta} \right) + \exp\left(-\frac{(t-R)^2}{2\delta} \right) \right)$$

with median $m_\delta = 0$.

Defining A, B, C as done in the proof of Lemma 9, we have

$$A \leq \sup_{0<u<1} \left(u \log \frac{1}{u} \right) \cdot \int_{m_\delta}^{R} \frac{1}{p_\delta(t)} dt \leq \frac{1}{e} \int_0^R 2\sqrt{2\pi\delta} \exp\left(\frac{(t-R)^2}{2\delta} \right) dt$$

$$\text{since } p_\delta(t) \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-R)^2}{2\delta} \right).$$

Letting $u = (R-t)/\sqrt{\delta}$ above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\delta \int_0^{R/\sqrt{\delta}} \exp\left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right) du \leq & \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\delta \cdot \frac{2\,R/\sqrt{\delta}}{(R/\sqrt{\delta})^2+1} \exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right) \\ & \text{by Lemma 6} \\ = & \frac{4\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\delta^{3/2}\frac{R}{R^2+\delta}\exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right) \\ \leq & \frac{4\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$B \leq \frac{4\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right).$$

Also, as done in Lemma 9,

$$\begin{aligned} C \leq \frac{2}{3}(2\pi+1)(1+\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{\delta}+2R)^2 \leq 6(2\pi+1)(1+\sqrt{2})R^2 \\ \text{for } \delta \leq R^2. \end{aligned}$$

Again proceeding as done in Section 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\delta) \leq & B + C \\ \leq & \frac{4\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right) + 6(2\pi+1)(1+\sqrt{2})R^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$R^2 \leq 3\sqrt{3}e^{-3/2}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right),$$

which can be seen by using elementary calculus to minimize the right-hand side of the above inequality over δ. So

$$\begin{aligned} c(\delta) \leq & 468 \cdot 2 \cdot D_1(\delta) \\ \leq & 936 \cdot \left(\frac{4\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\cdot\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right) + 6(2\pi+1)(1+\sqrt{2})R^2\right) \\ \leq & 936 \cdot \left(\frac{4\sqrt{2\pi}}{e} + 6(2\pi+1)(1+\sqrt{2})\cdot 3\sqrt{3}e^{-3/2}\right)\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right) \\ \leq & 117942 \cdot \frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lower bound on $c(\delta)$ is done in Example 21. □

Example 21. *On $\mathbb{R}^n$, let $\mu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{(R,0,\ldots,0)} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{(-R,0,\ldots,0)}$ (so the support of μ is contained in a ball of radius R). Then there is some absolute constant K such that for $0 < \delta \leq R^2$,*

$$c(\delta) \geq K\,\frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R}\exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right).$$

K can be taken above to be $1/11$.

Proof. Given $0 < \delta \leq R^2$, let f be the continuous piecewise linear function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f = 0$ on $\{x_1 \leq 0\}$, $f = 1$ on $\{x_1 \geq \delta/R\}$, and $f(x) = \frac{R}{\delta}x_1$ on $\{0 \leq x_1 \leq \delta/R\}$. Then

$$c(\delta) = \sup_g \frac{\mathrm{Ent}_{\mu_\delta}(g^2)}{\mathscr{E}(g,g)} \geq \frac{\mathrm{Ent}_{\mu_\delta}(f^2)}{\mathscr{E}(f,f)}.$$

Now

$$\int f^2 d\mu_\delta \leq \int_{\{x_1 \geq 0\}} d\mu_\delta = \frac{1}{2},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ent}_{\mu_\delta}(f^2) &= \int f^2 \log \frac{f^2}{\int f^2 d\mu_\delta} d\mu_\delta \\ &\geq \int f^2 \log(2f^2) d\mu_\delta \\ &= \int_{\{0\leq x_1\leq \delta/R\}} f^2 \log(2f^2) d\mu_\delta + \int_{\{x_1\geq \delta/R\}} f^2 \log(2f^2) d\mu_\delta.\end{aligned}$$

Since $u\log(2u) \geq -1/2e$ and $f^2\log(2f^2) = \log 2$ on $\{x_1 \geq \delta/R\}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ent}_{\mu_\delta}(f^2) \geq -\frac{1}{2e}\mu_\delta(\{0\leq x_1\leq \delta/R\}) + \log 2 \cdot \mu_\delta(\{x_1 \geq \delta/R\}).$$

By definition of μ_δ,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mu_\delta(\{0\leq x_1\leq \delta/R\}) \\ &= \int_{\{0\leq x_1\leq \delta/R\}} \frac{1}{2(2\pi\delta)^{n/2}} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{|x-(R,0,\ldots,0)|^2}{2\delta}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{|x+(R,0,\ldots,0)|^2}{2\delta}\right)\right) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{0\leq x_1\leq \delta/R\}} \frac{1}{(2\pi\delta)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-(R,0,\ldots,0)|^2}{2\delta}\right) dx \\ &\quad \text{since } \exp\left(-\frac{|x+(R,0,\ldots,0)|^2}{2\delta}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{|x-(R,0,\ldots,0)|^2}{2\delta}\right) \text{ for } x_1 \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating in the first component x_1 in the above integral, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\delta(\{0\leq x_1\leq \delta/R\}) &\leq \int_0^{\delta/R} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_1-R)^2}{2\delta}\right) dx_1 \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{(2\pi\delta)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_2^2+\cdots+x_n^2}{2\delta}\right) dx_2\ldots dx_n \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \int_0^{\delta/R} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_1-R)^2}{2\delta}\right) dx_1,\end{aligned}$$

the second integral in the first expression above being an $(n-1)$-dimensional Gaussian integral. Since the integrand in the last expression above is bounded by 1, we get for $\delta \leq R^2$,

$$\mu_\delta(\{0\leq x_1\leq \delta/R\}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \cdot \frac{\delta}{R} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mu_\delta(\{x_1\geq \delta/R\}) \\ &= \int_{\{x_1\geq \delta/R\}} \frac{1}{2(2\pi\delta)^{n/2}} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{|x-(R,0,\ldots,0)|^2}{2\delta}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{|x+(R,0,\ldots,0)|^2}{2\delta}\right)\right) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\{x_1\geq \delta/R\}} \frac{1}{2(2\pi\delta)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-(R,0,\ldots,0)|^2}{2\delta}\right) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\{x_1\geq R\}} \frac{1}{2(2\pi\delta)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-(R,0,\ldots,0)|^2}{2\delta}\right) dx \\ &\quad \text{since } \delta \leq R^2.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $u = x-(R,0,\ldots,0)$ above, we get

$$\mu_\delta(\{x_1\geq \delta/R\}) \geq \int_{\{u_1\geq 0\}} \frac{1}{2(2\pi\delta)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|u|^2}{2\delta}\right) du = \frac{1}{4}.$$

So

$$\mathrm{Ent}_{\mu_\delta}(f^2) \geq -\frac{1}{2e}\mu_\delta(\{0\leq x_1\leq \delta/R\}) + \log 2 \cdot \mu_\delta(\{x_1\geq \delta/R\}) \geq \frac{\log 2}{4} - \frac{1}{2e\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

Also,

$$\mathscr{E}(f,f) = \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu_\delta = \frac{R^2}{\delta^2} \cdot \mu_\delta(\{0\leq x_1\leq \delta/R\}).$$

But as we have just shown,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\delta(\{0 \le x_1 \le \delta/R\}) \le & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \int_0^{\delta/R} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-R)^2}{2\delta}\right) dt \\ \le & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \cdot \frac{\delta}{R} \exp\left(-\frac{(\delta/R - R)^2}{2\delta}\right) \\ & \text{since } t \le \delta/R \le R \Rightarrow -(t-R)^2 \le -(\delta/R - R)^2,\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{E}(f,f) \le \frac{R^2}{\delta^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} \cdot \frac{\delta}{R} \exp\left(-\frac{(\delta/R - R)^2}{2\delta}\right) = & \frac{R}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\delta}{2R^2} + 1 - \frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right) \\ \le & \frac{eR}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}c(\delta) \ge \frac{\mathrm{Ent}_{\mu_\delta}(f^2)}{\mathscr{E}(f,f)} \ge \frac{\frac{\log 2}{4} - \frac{1}{2e\sqrt{2\pi}}}{\frac{eR}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right)} = & \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\left(\frac{\log 2}{4} - \frac{1}{2e\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) \frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R} \exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right) \\ \ge & \frac{1}{11} \cdot \frac{\delta^{3/2}}{R} \exp\left(\frac{R^2}{2\delta}\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

We conjecture that the bound stated in Example 20 is, up to absolute constant, the best upper bound (uniform over all measures whose supports have a given radius) that could have been given in Theorems 2 and 3. In particular, the upper bound should be independent of dimension.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank his Ph.D. advisor, Todd Kemp, for his valuable insights and discussions regarding this topic.

References

[1] Bakry, D.: *L'hypercontractivité et son utilisation en thorie des semigroupes.* Lectures on probability theory (Saint-Flour, 1992), 1–114, Lecture Notes in Math., 1581, Springer, Berlin, 1994.

[2] Bakry, D.: *On Sobolev and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for Markov semigroups.* New trends in stochastic analysis (Charingworth, 1994), 43–75, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1997.

[3] Bakry, D.; Barthe, F.; Cattiaux, P.; Guillin, A.: *A simple proof of the Poincaré inequality for a large class of probability measures including the log-concave case.* Electron. Commun. Probab. **13** (2008), 60–66.

[4] Bakry, D.; Émery, M.: *Diffusions hypercontractive.* Séminaire de Probabilité XIX. Lecture Notes in Math. **1123**, 179–206 (1985)

[5] Bakry, D.; Ledoux, M.: *A logarithmic Sobolev form of the Li-Yau parabolic inequality.* Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **22** no. 2, 683–702 (2006)

[6] Bobkov, S. G.; Götze, F.: *Exponential Integrability and Transportation Cost Related to Logarithmic Sobolev Inequalities,* J. Funct. Anal. **163** (1999), 1–28.

[7] Bobkov, S.; Houdré, C.: *Some connections between isoperimetric and Sobolev-type inequalities.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** no. 616 (1997)

[8] Bobkov, S.; Ledoux, M.: *From Brunn-Minkowski to Brascamp-Lieb and to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.* Geom. Funct. Anal. **10**, no. 5, 1028–1052 (2000)

[9] Bobkov, S.; Tetali, P.: *Modied logarithmic Sobolev inequalities in discrete settings.* J. Theoret. Probab. **19**, no. 2, 289–336 (2006)

[10] Cattiaux, P.; Guillin, A.; Wu, L.: *A note on Talagrand's transportation inequality and logarithmic Sobolev inequality.* Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **148**, 285–304 (2010)

[11] Davies, E. B.: *Explicit constants for Gaussian upper bounds on heat kernels.* Amer. J. Math. **109**, no. 2, 319–333 (1987)

[12] Davies, E. B.: *Heat kernels and spectral theory.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 92. Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[13] Davies, E. B., Simon, B.: *Ultracontractivity and the heat kernel for Schrödinger operators and Dirichlet Laplacians.* J. Funct. Anal. **59**, 335–395 (1984)

[14] Diaconis, P., Saloff-Coste, L.: *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for finite Markov chains.* Ann. Appl. Probab. **6**, 695–750 (1996)

[15] Gross, L.: *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.* Amer. J. Math. **97**, 1061–1083 (1975)

[16] Guionnet, A.: *Large Random Matrices: Lectures on Macroscopic Asymptotics.* École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XXXVI, Lecture Notes in Math., 1957, Springer, Berlin, 2009

[17] Gross, L.; Rothaus, O.: *Herbst inequalities for supercontractive semigroups.* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **38**, no. 2, 295–318 (1998)

[18] Guionnet, A.; Zegarlinski, B.: *Lectures on logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.* Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXVI, 1134, Lecture Notes in Math., 1801, Springer, Berlin, 2003.
[19] Hoffman, A; Wielandt, H.: *The variation of the spectrum of a normal matrix.* Duke Math. J. **20**, no. 1, 37–39 (1953)
[20] Holley, R., Stroock, D.: *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and stochastic Ising models.* J. Statist. Phys. **46**, 1159–1194 (1987)
[21] Ledoux, M.: *Isoperimetry and Gaussian analysis. Lectures on probability theory and statistics.* 165–294, Lecture Notes in Math 1648, Springer, Berlin, 1996
[22] Ledoux, M.: *The concentration of measure phenomenon.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 89. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
[23] Ledoux, M.: *A remark on hypercontractivity and tail inequalities for the largest eigenvalues of random matrices.* Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVII, 360–369, Lecture Notes in Math., 1832, Springer, Berlin, 2003.
[24] Villani, C.: *Topics in optimal transportation.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 58. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
[25] Wigner, E.: *Characteristic Vectors of Bordered Matrices with Innite Dimensions.* Ann. of Math. **62**, 548–564 (1955)
[26] Wigner, E.: *On the Distribution of the Roots of Certain Symmetric Matrices.* Ann. of Math. **67**, 325–328 (1958)
[27] Wang, F.-Y.; Wang, J.: *Functional inequalities for convolution probability measures.* arXiv:1308.1713
[28] Yau, H.T.: *Logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the lattice gases with mixing conditions.* Commun. Math. Phys. **181**, 367–408 (1996)
[29] Yau, H.T.: *Log-Sobolev inequality for generalized simple exclusion processes.* Probab. Theory Related Fields **109**, 507–538 (1997)
[30] Zegarlinski, B.: *Dobrushin uniqueness theorem and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.* J. Funct. Anal. **105**, 77–111 (1992)
[31] Zimmermann, D.: *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for mollified compactly supported measures.* J. Funct. Anal. **265**, 1064–1083 (2013)

Department of Mathematics, University of California, San Diego 92093
E-mail address: dszimmer@math.ucsd.edu